

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 P.O. Box 1450

P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/583,703	05/31/2000	Oleg B. Rashkovskiy	INTL-0409-US (P8992)	5209
21906	7590 06/08/2006		EXAM	INER
	NER & HU, PC		LUU, LE HIEN	
1616 S. VOSS ROAD, SUITE 750 HOUSTON, TX 77057-2631			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2141	

DATE MAILED: 06/08/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/583,703	RASHKOVSKIY, OLEG B.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Le H. Luu	2141				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03/06/06</u> .						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	s action is non-final.					
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3,5-13 and 15-29 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3,5-13, and 15-29 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	es have been received. Es have been received in Application rity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	6) Other:	atony (ppiloanor (t TO TOE)				

- 1. Claims 1-3, 5-13, and 15-29 are presented for examination.
- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-3, 5-13, and 15-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 (a) as being unpatentable over Compton et al. (Compton) patent no. 6,115,035, in view of Hatori patent no. 5,778,382, and Wesinger, Jr. et al. (Wesinger) patent no. 5,778,367.
- 4. As to claim 1, Compton teaches the invention substantially as claimed, including a method comprising:

automatically searching, on a plurality of web sites, for streaming video files (col. 2 lines 11-25; col. 3 lines 33-46; col. 4 lines 30-42; col. 5 lines 5-28; search engine inherently searches plurality of web sites);

selecting particular streaming video files from said plurality of web sites based on a text search using keywords (Compton, col. 3 lines 33-46; col. 4 lines 30-42; col. 5 lines 5-27; search engine inherently use text search using keywords search on plurality of web sites. Inherent teaching can be found in Wesinger, Jr. et al. patent no.

5,778,367; Wesinger, col. 2 lines 8-16; col. 9 lines 55-65); and

in response to said search, automatically generating a graphical user interface including representations of said selected streaming video files for display (Compton, col. 4 lines 43-65; col. 7 line 16-27).

However, Compton does not explicitly teach organizing said streaming video files representations by said keywords for display.

Hatori teaches using keyword to denote a retrieval-result display area for displaying items of data retrieved with searched keyword (Hatori, figure 9; Abstract, col. 4 lines 30-48).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the Data Processing art at the time of the invention to combine the teachings of Compton and Hatori to organize said streaming video files representations by said keywords for display because it would allow items of data with the keyword are displayed at a predetermined area on a display screen.

- 5. As to claim 2, Compton teaches automatically searching for streaming video files includes automatically searching for predetermined file extensions associated with streaming video files (col. 4 lines 43-65, fig 3).
- 6. As to claim 3, Compton teaches automatically searching for streaming video files includes automatically searching for streaming video file extensions and for keywords in web sites associated with said streaming video files (Compton, col. 4 lines 30-42).

7. As to claim 5, Compton and Hatori teach the invention substantially as claimed as discussed above. In addition, Hatori teaches aid video files displayed in association with corresponding categories (Hatori, figure 9; Abstract, col. 4 lines 30-48).

However, Compton and Hatori do not explicitly teach displaying said representations of keywords in a column and said representations of video files in rows.

Hatori teaches displaying representations of retrieval conditions in a column and representations of image files in rows (Hatori, figure 12).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the Data Processing art at the time of the invention to combine the teachings of Compton and Hatori to display said representations of keywords in a column and said representations of video files in rows because it would display retrieval results in conventional way.

- 8. As to claims 6-7, Compton and Hatori teach representing each video file by a thumbnail frame, and playing said video file in response to a user selection of said thumbnail video (Compton, col. 4 lines 43-65; col. 7 line 16-27; Hatori, figure 9; Abstract, col. 4 lines 30-48).
- 9. As to claims 8-10, Compton and Hatori teach using said keywords as category icons and displaying a plurality of video files associated with each category icon, accessing said video file over the Internet in response to a user selection of said video file, and periodically automatically searching for streaming video files (Reilly, figure 10, col. 13 line 28 col. 14 line 16).

Application/Control Number: 09/583,703 Page 5

Art Unit: 2141

10. As to claim 24, Compton and Reilly teach automatically searching the Internet

includes automatically transmitting a request to a remote web server for a search

engine to perform said Internet search (Compton, col. 4 lines 30-65; col. 7 line 16-27;

Hatori, figure 9; Abstract, col. 4 lines 30-48).

11. Claims 11-13, 15-23, and 25-29 have similar limitations as claims 1-3, 5-10 and

24; therefore, they are rejected under the same rationale.

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Le H. Luu whose telephone number is 571-272-3884.

The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00am - 4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Rupal Dharia can be reached on 571-272-3880. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

LE HIEN LUU PRIMARY EXAMINER